arXiv:1410.0312v2 [math.AC] 25 Mar 2015

A HOMOLOGICAL CRITERION FOR THE CONTAINMENT BETWEEN SYMBOLIC AND ORDINARY POWERS OF SOME IDEALS OF POINTS IN $\mathbb{P}^2$

ALEXANDRA SECELEANU

Abstract. We establish a criterion for the (failure of) the containment $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$ for 3-generated ideals I defining reduced sets of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Our criterion arises from studying the minimal free resolutions of the powers of I, specifically the minimal free resolutions for I^m and I^r. We apply this criterion to two point configurations that have recently arisen as counterexamples to a question of B. Harbourne and C. Huneke: the family of Fermat configurations and the Klein configuration.

1. Comparing symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals

Let K be a field. Every point p in the complex projective N-space $\mathbb{P}^N$ over K gives rise to the ideal $I(p) \subset K[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ generated by the homogeneous polynomials vanishing at p. A finite set $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ of points in projective space corresponds to the ideal $I(\mathbf{X})$ generated by all homogeneous polynomials (forms) vanishing on $\mathbf{X}$. More precisely, if $\mathbf{X} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$, then $I(\mathbf{X}) = \cap_{i=1}^n I(p_i)$. We call an ideal $I(\mathbf{X})$ of this form an ideal defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Note that we do not allow irrelevant components, in other words our ideal defining a reduced set of points are always understood to be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

If $I(\mathbf{X}) = \cap_{i=1}^n I(p_i)$ is an ideal defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^N$, the m^{th} symbolic power $I(\mathbf{X})^{(m)}$ is given by

$$I(\mathbf{X})^{(m)} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} I(p_i)^m.$$

Symbolic powers arise as naturally in geometry as ideals specified by higher order vanishing conditions. The ideal $I(\mathbf{X})^{(m)}$ defined above consists manifestly of all forms whose order of vanishing is at least m at each point of $\mathbf{X}$, if we define the order of vanishing of a form F at a point p_i to be the exponent of the largest ordinary power of $I(p_i)$ containing F. In fact, symbolic powers can be more generally defined for arbitrary ideals I of a ring R by setting

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{P \in \mathrm{Min(I)}} \left(I^m R_P \cap R \right),$$

although the special case of ideals of points presented first will suffice for our purposes. With this more general definition, it is still true, for prime ideals defining varieties in projective space over an algebraically closed field, that the m-th symbolic power consists of all forms whose order of vanishing is at least m at each point of the variety, by a classical theorem of Zariski and Nagata [Ei, Theorem 3.14].

While the definitions above indicate a close relationship between symbolic powers and ordinary powers of ideals, it is natural to ask: how do the various symbolic powers and ordinary powers of the same ideal compare with respect to containment? This is a difficult and broad question that can also be asked for arbitrary homogeneous ideals. A celebrated answer to this question was given in [ELS, HoHu] using multiplier ideal techniques and positive characteristic methods respectively:

Theorem 1.1 (Ein-Lazarsfeld-Smith [ELS], Hochster-Huneke [HoHu]). *The containment $I^{(rN)} \subseteq I^r$ holds for all homogeneous ideals $I \subseteq K[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ and for all $r \geq 1$.*

What currently remains open is whether the containment described before is best possible. In an attempt to strengthen this containment, one may try to make the symbolic side larger by decreasing the symbolic exponent. As a specific case of this approach for $N = 2$ and $r = 2$, C. Huneke asked:

Question 1.2. Does $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$ hold for any radical ideal I defining a finite set of points of $\mathbb{P}^2$?

An extended version of the above question was proposed by B. Harbourne, who asked whether $I^{(Nr-N+1)} \subseteq I^r$ would hold for all $r \geq 1$ and all $N \geq 1$ for ideals $I \subseteq K[x_0, \dots x_N]$ defining finite sets of reduced points [HaHu, Conjecture 4.1.1]. While the same questions can be asked more generally for arbitrary homogeneous ideals, the case of points was proposed as a fertile testing ground that benefits from being supported by geometric intuition. To give added validity to the above questions, it is worth noting that both have affirmative answer when I is the ideal defining a general set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ ([BH, Remark 4.3]).

However, through recent developments, it is now known that the containment $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$ does not always hold. We now give an account of the successive discovery of counterexamples that have arisen in relation to this containment. Understanding these counterexamples constitutes the main motivation of this paper. The first proof that the containment $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$ can fail, appeared in the paper [DST]. The counterexample given therein is a configuration of 12 points in the complex projective plane, defined by the ideal

$$I = (x(y^3 - z^3), y(z^3 - x^3), z(x^3 - y^3)).$$

These points arise as the singular locus of an arrangement of 9 lines. The entire incidence structure of the 12 points and 9 lines is projectively dual to the classical Hesse configuration given by the 9 flexes of a general plane cubic together with the 12 lines passing through pairs of these flexes. A characteristic 3 analogue of the counterexample of [DST] was later given in [BCH]. More precisely, it is proven therein that removing any single point from among the 13 points of the finite projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{F}_3}$ together with all the lines passing through the removed point yields on the remaining 12 points the same incidence structure exhibited by the dual Hesse configuration of [DST]. It is worth noting, however, that although they share the same combinatorial data and the property that $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$, the dual Hesse configuration of [DST] and the characteristic 3 counterexample of [BCH] behave very differently when viewed from the perspective of algebraic-geometric invariants associated to them (see [Res] for a detailed account of the differences and computations of the resurgence for these counterexamples).

Generalizing the work of [DST], an infinite family of counterexamples was given in [HS]: over any field K that contains j distinct n-th roots of 1, the ideal

$$I = (x(y^n - z^n), y(z^n - x^n), z(x^n - y^n)$$

defines a set of $n^2 + 3$ points that arises as the singular locus of an arrangement of $3n + 3$ lines. Following [Res], which uses terminology introduced by Urzuá [Ur], we refer to these configurations of $n^2 + 3$ points as Fermat configurations. It is proven in [HS, Proposition 2.1] that, if I is the defining ideal of a Fermat configuration of points, then $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$.

Recently, the authors of [BN] have found two new configurations of planar points that fail to satisfy the containment $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$. These are both configurations of points studied classically, one by Klein [Kl] in conjunction with a certain quartic curve and the other by Wiman [Wi] in relation to the group of collineations of the projective plane. In the following, we refer to each of these configurations using the name of their respective discoverer. The Klein configuration consists of 49 points which form the singular locus of an arrangement of 21 lines. The Wiman configuration consists of 201 points that arise as the singular locus of an arrangement of 45 lines. Explicit coordinates of the points in each of these configurations (over $\mathbb{C}$) are given in [BN], where it is also noted that the failure of the containment $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$ was verified computationally for both configurations by the authors.

Motivated by these counterexamples, we propose a homological approach meant to verify the failures of containment mentioned above from a theoretical perspective. Our end goal is to produce a criterion for the failure of the containment $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$ that would apply to the aforementioned counterexamples. We hope that such an approach will give some insight into what makes these configurations special from the point of view of the relation between their symbolic and ordinary powers. It is clear, by definition, that the Fermat configurations of points mentioned above are defined by 3-generated ideals. We prove in section 4.2 that the Klein configuration is also cut out by a 3-generated ideal with three minimal generators of degree 8. Motivated by this, we restrict our attention to 3-generated ideals of height 2, with minimal generators of the same degree:

$$I = (f, g, h) \subset K[x, y, z].$$

Our paper is structured as follows: we determine the minimal free resolutions of the powers of I, specifically the minimal free resolutions for I^2 and I^3 in section 2. We establish a homological criterion for containments of the from $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$ in section Proposition 3.2. When applied for $m = 3$ and $r = 2$, this criterion can be expressed concretely in terms of a single map in the aforementioned minimal free resolution of I^3. Furthermore, the map in question is represented by a certain matrix easily described in terms of the minimal syzygies on the generators of I by Theorem 3.3. We apply our criterion to the Fermat point configurations in section 4.1, giving a new theoretical proof that $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$. We further apply our criterion to the classical Klein configuration over the complex numbers and some analogous configurations defined over fields of prime characteristic in section 4.2, giving the first theoretical proof that $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$ for the defining ideal I of the Klein configuration.

2. Resolutions of powers of uniformly 3-generated ideals of points

In the rest of the note, we use the term *strict almost complete intersection* to mean an ideal of height h that has a minimal set of generators of cardinality $h+1$. An ideal defining a reduced sets of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, is a strict almost complete intersections if it is 3-generated. We start by describing the structure of the minimal free resolutions of the second and third ordinary powers of ideals of planar points that are strict almost complete intersections with minimal generators of the same degree.

Let $I = (f, g, h) \subset R = K[x, y, z]$ be a homogeneous ideal with minimal generators of same degree d. A useful tool for obtaining free resolution for powers of an ideal I, introduced in [CHT], [Ko], [Tr], is to consider the Rees algebra of I, which is defined as $\mathcal{R}(I) = \oplus_{i\geq 0} I^i t^i$. From the universal property of symmetric algebras, one deduces the existence of a canonical surjective graded ring homomorphism $\mathrm{Sym(I)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\mathrm{(I)}$, where Sym(I) is the symmetric algebra of I. It is often convenient to have explicit presentation for the symmetric and Rees algebras. Let $S = R[T_1, T_2, T_3]$ denote a bigraded polynomial ring, where the variables of R have degree $(1, 0)$ and $\deg(T_i) = (d, 1)$. We write $S_{(a,b)}$ for the bidegree (a, b) component of S and we set $S_{(*,b)} = \bigoplus_{a\geq 0} S_{(a,b)}$. Note that $S_{(*,b)}$ is a free R-module of rank $\binom{b+2}{2}$.

Both the symmetric algebra Sym(I) and Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)$ can be expressed as quotients of the ring S. Defining a bihomogeneous surjection $S \to \mathrm{Sym(I)}$ by mapping $T_i \mapsto f_i t$ induces isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Sym(I)} \simeq \mathrm{S/L_1}, \text{ where } \mathrm{L_1} = \{\sum_{\mathrm{i=1}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b_i T_i} \mid \sum_{\mathrm{i=1}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b_i f_i} = 0\} \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(I) \simeq S/L, \text{ where } L = \{F(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n) \mid F(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) = 0\}.$$

If the map $\mathrm{Sym(I)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\mathrm{(I)}$ gives an isomorphism between Sym(I) and $\mathcal{R}(I)$, then I is said to have *linear type*. Equivalently, I has linear type if and only if $L = L_1$, that is the defining ideal of the Rees algebra L is generated in bidegree $(*, 1)$. This is the class of ideals where the Rees algebra is easiest to understand.

The following result, essentially proved in [NS2], shows that I has linear type in the case of interest for this paper, that is in the case of 3-generated ideals defining points in $\mathbb{P}^2$:

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be a strict almost complete intersection ideal defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then I is an ideal of linear type.*

Proof. It is proven in [NS2, Lemma 3.1] that for strict almost complete intersection ideals I such that R/I is equidimensional and satisfies $\operatorname{depth} \mathrm{R/I} \geq \dim \mathrm{R/I} - 1$ (both of these conditions are clearly satisfied in case I defines a reduced set of points, hence R/I is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay), the property that I is of linear type is equivalent to the fact that I is locally a complete intersection, that is I_P is a complete intersection for every minimal prime $P \in \operatorname{Ass}(R/I)$. For the class of ideals defining a finite reduced set of points i.e. $I = \cap_{i=1}^{n} I(p_i)$ as in the introduction, we have for every point p_i in the set that $I_P = I(p_i)$ is minimally generated by a regular sequence of N linear forms. □

We use this nice property to give an explicit description for the free resolutions of the square and the cube of a uniformly 3-generated ideals of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let I be a strict almost complete intersection ideal defining a reduced set of points in in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and let $A = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 \\ Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$ be a presentation matrix for the module of syzygies on I i.e. the Hilbert-Burch matrix of I. Then the symmetric and the Rees algebras of I are given as quotients of the polynomial ring $S = R[T_1, T_2, T_3]$ by*

$$\mathcal{R}(I) \simeq \mathrm{Sym(I)} \simeq \mathrm{S/(P_1T_1 + P_2T_2 + P_3T_3, Q_1T_1 + Q_2T_2 + Q_3T_3)}.$$

Furthermore, the defining ideal of these algebras, $(P_1T_1 + P_2T_2 + P_3T_3, Q_1T_1 + Q_2T_2 + Q_3T_3)$ is a complete intersection.

Proof. By the Hilbert-Burch Theorem [Ei, Theorem 20.15], I is generated by the 2 by 2 minors of some 2×3 matrix whose entries are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree in each of the two columns. Denote this matrix by $A = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 \\ Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$. By definition, the symmetric algebra of I is given by the quotient in the statement and the isomorphism between the symmetric and the Rees algebra follows from Lemma 2.1. It remains to show that the ideal $(P_1T_1 + P_2T_2 + P_3T_3, Q_1T_1 + Q_2T_2 + Q_3T_3)$ is a complete intersection. Since the two syzygies of I are algebraically independent, the height of this ideal is two. This yields the desired conclusion that the defining ideal is a complete intersection. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let I be strict almost complete intersection ideal with minimal generators of the same degree d defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 \\ Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$ be a presentation matrix for the module of syzygies on I (i.e. the Hilbert-Burch matrix of I). Let d_0 and d_1 denote the respective degrees of the polynomials in each of the two columns of A. Then the minimal free resolution of I^2 and I^3 are as follows:*

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-3d) \xrightarrow{X} R(-2d - d_0)^3 \oplus R(-2d - d_1)^3 \longrightarrow R(-2d)^6 \longrightarrow I^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-4d)^3 \xrightarrow{Y} R(-3d - d_0)^6 \oplus R(-3d - d_1)^6 \longrightarrow R(-3d)^{10} \longrightarrow I^3 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the last homomorphisms in the respective resolutions can be described by the matrices X and Y given below:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 & -Q_1, -Q_2, -Q_3 \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 & 0 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & 0 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & 0 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Proof. Resolving the complete intersection $\mathcal{R}(I)$ over S we obtain:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-2d,-2) \xrightarrow{M} S(-d-d_1,-1) \oplus S(-d-d_0,-1) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Restricting this complex to its strands of degree $(*,2)$ and $(*,3)$ and using that $S_{(*,0)} \simeq R$, $S_{(*,1)} = R\,T_1 \oplus R\,T_2 \oplus R\,T_3 \simeq R^3$ and $S_{(*,1)} = \oplus_{1\leq i\leq j\leq 3} R\,T_iT_j \simeq R^6$, one obtains the resolutions stated in this Proposition. Furthermore, Lemma 2.2 yields that the map of S-modules labeled by M in the resolution of the complete intersection $\mathcal{R}(I)$ can be chosen to be

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} P_1T_1 + P_2T_2 + P_3T_3 & -(Q_1T_1 + Q_2T_2 + Q_3T_3) \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Writing the R-module homomorphisms induced by M on the aforementioned strands of the complex in terms of the canonical R-bases $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{1\}$ of $S_{(*,0)}$, $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ of $S_{(*,1)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{T_1^2, T_1T_2, T_2^2, T_2T_3, T_3^2\}$ for $S_{(*,2)}$ yields the descriptions of X and Y given in the statement of this Proposition. □

Remark 2.4. The idea of using Rees algebra techniques to provide an explicit description of minimal free resolutions of all ordinary powers of a uniformly 3-generated ideal of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ will be exploited further in [NS1]. In particular, a formula for the minimal free resolutions and for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of all ordinary powers of a uniformly 3-generated ideal of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ will appear in full detail in [NS1]. For the purposes of this note, we only require knowledge of the resolutions of I^2 and I^3 and a good command of the maps appearing therein, as illustrated in Proposition 2.3.

3. A homological criterion for the containment $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$

In this section, let $m = (x, y, z)$ be the graded maximal ideal of $R = K[x, y, z]$ and let $m \geq r > 0$ be integers. We view the containment $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$ through the lens of the natural map between the local cohomology modules $H^0_m(R/I^r) \to H^0_m(R/I^r)$. Interpreting the dual of this map from a homological perspective will yield a criterion for establishing (the failure of) the containment $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let I be a homogeneous ideal. Consider the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow I^r/I^m \longrightarrow R/I^m \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I^r \longrightarrow 0.$$

The following statements are equivalent:

(1) $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$
(2) the induced map $H^0_m(\pi) : H^0_m(R/I^m) \to H^0_m(R/I^r)$ is the zero homomorphism
(3) the induced map $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\pi) : \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^r}, \mathrm{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^m}, \mathrm{R})$ is the zero homomorphism

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) : The map in (2) can be rewritten explicitly, using the isomorphisms $H^0_m(I^r) = \frac{I^{(r)}}{I^r}$ and $H^0_m(I^m) = \frac{I^{(m)}}{I^m}$, as

$$H^0_m(\pi) : \frac{I^{(m)}}{I^m} \to \frac{I^{(r)}}{I^r}.$$

Thus the map $H^0_m(\pi)$ is can be viewed as the composition of the inclusion $I^{(m)} \hookrightarrow I^{(r)}$ with the canonical projection $I^{(r)} \to \frac{I^{(r)}}{I^r}$. It follows that the image of this map is the zero module if and only if $\frac{I^{(m)}}{I^r} = 0$, that is if and only if $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) : The short exact sequence in the statement yields a long exact sequence which features the maps in (2) and (3) of this Lemma as labeled below

$$\ldots \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^m},\mathrm{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I^r/I^m},\mathrm{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^r},\mathrm{R}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^3(\pi)} \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^m},\mathrm{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I^r/I^m},\mathrm{R}) \to 0.$$

The equivalence (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) follows from local duality, since for every integer t there exist natural vector space isomorphisms between $H^0_m(R/I^r)_t \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^r},\mathrm{R}(-3))_{-\mathrm{t}-3}$ and $H^0_m(R/I^m)_t \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^m},\mathrm{R}(-3))_{-\mathrm{t}-3}$ under which the map $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\pi)_{-\mathrm{t}-3}$ becomes the dual of the map $H^0_m(\pi)_t$. Thus either one of these maps is zero if and only if the other one is. □

Next we give an effective way of checking the validity of the equivalent statements in Proposition 3.1 for the case when I is a strict almost complete intersection defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, I is generated in a single degree d and $m = 3, r = 2$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let I be a homogeneous ideal with three minimal generators of the same degree d, defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ over a field of characteristic not equal to 3. Then the map $\mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^2},\mathrm{R}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R/I^3},\mathrm{R})$ is zero if and only if the vector whose entries are the minimal generators of I is in the image of the dual of the last non-zero map in the resolution of R/I^3.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, the ideals I^2 and I^3 admit minimal free resolutions described below

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & R(-4d)^3 & \xrightarrow{Y} & R(-3d-d_0)^6 \oplus R(-3d-d_1)^6 & \longrightarrow & R(-3d)^{10} & \longrightarrow & I^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_3} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 3\iota} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & R(-3d) & \longrightarrow & R(-2d-d_0)^3 \oplus R(-2d-d_1)^3 & \longrightarrow & R(-2d)^6 & \longrightarrow & I^2 & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Let f, g, h be a set of minimal generators of I such that a bijection between S/L_1 and Sym(I) is given by $T_1 \mapsto f, T_2 \mapsto g, T_3 \mapsto h$. We denote by $\mu_i : S_{(*,i)} \to S_{(*,i-1)}$ the downgrading homomorphism, namely the R-module map which is given on an R-basis of $S_{(*,i)}$ by $\mu_i(T_{k_1} \ldots T_{k_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} f_j T_{k_1} \ldots \widehat{T_{k_j}} \ldots T_{k_i}$. If $\iota : I^3 \hookrightarrow I^2$ denotes the natural inclusion, it is easy to see that μ_3 induces the map $3\iota : I^3 \to I^2$ via the projection $S \to \mathrm{Sym(I)}$, making the rightmost square of the above diagram commute. Furthermore, using the notation of Lemma 2.2 and setting $F = P_1T_1 + P_2T_2 + P_3T_3$ and $G = Q_1T_1 + Q_2T_2 + Q_3T_3$ to be the minimal generators of the defining ideal of $\mathcal{R}(I)$, one has $\mu_1(F) = \mu_1(G) = 0$ because $P_1f + P_2g + P_3h = Q_1f + Q_2g + Q_3h = 0$. Consequently, extending the fact that for monomials $m \in S_{(*,j)}$ and $m' \in S_{(*,k)}$ with $i = j + k$ one has $\mu_i(mm') = \mu_j(m)m' + m\mu_k(m')$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_i(Fs) &= \mu_1(F)s + F\mu_{i-1}(s) = F\mu_{i-1}(s) \text{ and} \\
\mu_i(Gs) &= \mu_1(G)s + G\mu_{i-1}(s) = G\mu_{i-1}(s), \text{ for all } s \in S_{(*,i-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the maps in the resolutions of powers of I are induced by componentwise multiplication by F or G, according to Theorem 2.3, one obtains a commutative diagram of R-modules and R-module homomorphisms as illustrated in the beginning of the proof.

Since the map ι lifts the homomorphism π of Proposition 3.1, we have by homological shifting that $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\pi) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\iota)$. The matrix representing μ_1^* with respect to the canonical R-bases of $S_{(*,1)}$ and $S_{(*,0)}$ is $[f\ g\ h]$. Therefore, after dualizing, the map $\mathrm{Ext}^2(3\iota)$ is given by $1 \mapsto [f\ g\ h]^T$. If 3 is invertible then $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\iota) = 3^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}^2(3\iota)$, thus the map $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\pi) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\iota)$ is injective if and only if the vector $[f\ g\ h]^T$ is not zero in $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\mathrm{R/I^3},\mathrm{R})$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\mathrm{R/I^3},\mathrm{R}) = \mathrm{R(-4d)^3/Image(Y^T)}$, the map $\mathrm{Ext}^3(\pi)$ is the zero map if and only if the vector $[f\ g\ h]^T$ is contained in the image of Y^T, where Y is the last non-zero map in the minimal free resolution of I^3 and $Y^T = \mathrm{Hom(Y,R)}$. □

Combining the results of this section we obtain an effective version of our criterion:

Theorem 3.3. *Let I be a 3-generated homogeneous ideal with minimal generators f, g, h of the same degree d, defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ over a field of characteristic not equal to 3. Set Y to be the matrix representing the last homomorphism in the the minimal free resolution of I^3, as described in Proposition 2.3:*

$$0 \longrightarrow R^3 \xrightarrow{Y} R^{12} \longrightarrow R^{10} \longrightarrow I^3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $I^{(3)} \subseteq (I^2)$ if and only if $[f\ g\ h]^T \in \text{Image}(\text{Y}^{\text{T}})$.

Proof. The Theorem follows from Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. □

Remark 3.4. Theorem 3.3 can be regarded also as a computationally efficient criterion. Indeed, if successful, this criterion involves checking non-containment of a fixed element, namely $[f\ g\ h]^T$ in a module generated in degrees at most $d-1$, namely $\text{Image}(\text{Y}^{\text{T}})$. By contrast, for saturating I^3 one has to deal with generators of degree $3d$ and usually a well determined element is not readily available in $I^{(3)}$ for which to test containment. However, in the examples of section 4 one does have such a candidate. In fact, it is true that the product of the lines appearing in the respective configurations is always an element of $I^{(3)}$ but not of I^2. See [DST] and [HS] for a proof of this for the Fermat family. The author has verified computationally that the same is true for the Klein and Wiman configurations.

With an eye towards the applications in section 4, we end with establishing a setup that allows to verify the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3. The resulting criterion is stated quite technically below, but the underlying idea is relatively simple: we know the explicit form of the matrix Y that plays a prominent role in our criterion in Theorem 3.3 in terms of the entries of the Hilbert-Burch matrix of I. We can also express the generators f, g, h of I in terms of the entries of the Hilbert-Burch matrix. Then checking whether $[f\ g\ h]^T \in \text{Im}(\text{Y}^{\text{T}})$ amounts to checking if there exist homogeneous polynomials $w_1, \dots w_{12}$ satisfying the following matrix equality:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_2Q_3 - P_3Q_2 \\ P_3Q_1 - P_1Q_3 \\ P_1Q_2 - P_2Q_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 & 0 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & 0 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & 0 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 \end{bmatrix} [w_1 \dots w_{12}]^T.$$

If each of the sets $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$ and $\{P_2Q_3, P_3Q_2, P_3Q_1, P_1Q_3, P_1Q_2, P_2Q_1\}$ consists of linearly independent forms (this property is most clearly evident in the case when the entries of the Hilbert-Burch matrix are monomials, as in section 4.1), the problem can be reduced to standard linear algebra and a contradiction can be obtained. This is the main idea of Proposition 3.6 below.

Remark 3.5. It is easy to see that in characteristic 2 and 3 the matrix equation above always has solutions. In characteristic 2 a solution is given by

$$[w_1, \dots, w_{12}] = [0, Q_3, Q_2, 0, Q_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$$

and in characteristic 3, a solution is given by

$$[w_1, \dots, w_{12}] = [0, Q_3, 0, 0, -Q_1, 0, 0, P_3, 0, 0, -P_1, 0].$$

However, the conclusions that can be derived from this fact with the help of Theorem 3.3 are different. If $\text{char}(\text{K}) = 2$, our theorem allows to conclude that the containment $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$ always holds for three-generated ideals I defining points in $\mathbb{P}^2_K$. This recovers a special case of [PSC, Remark 8.4.4]. By contrast, in characteristic 3, Theorem 3.3 is inconclusive.

In the following, R_i denotes the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree i in R and we use similar notation for homogeneous graded components of ideals.

Proposition 3.6. *With the notation and hypotheses of Theorem 3.3, assuming the characteristic of the polynomial ring is not 2 or 3 and setting $\{[P_1, P_2, P_3]^T, [Q_1, Q_2, Q_3]^T\}$ to be a set of minimal generators of degrees d_0 and d_1 respectively of the syzygy module of I, if the conditions below are*

satisfied, then the vector $[f\ g\ h]^T$ *is not in the image of the* R*-module map defined by the transpose of the matrix* Y*:*

(1) the forms P_iQ_j, $1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j$ *form a linearly independent set*

(2) there is a basis $\mathcal{B}$ *for* R_d $(d = d_0 + d_1)$ *containing the set of forms in (1) and such that*

$$c(\phi_1, P_2Q_3) - c(\phi_1, P_3Q_2) + c(\phi_2, P_3Q_1) - c(\phi_2, P_1Q_3) + c(\phi_3, P_1Q_2) - c(\phi_3, P_2Q_1) = 0,$$

where $c(\phi, P_iQ_j)$ *denotes the coefficient of* P_iQ_j *in the polynomial* $\phi \in R_d$ *written in base* $\mathcal{B}$*, and* ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 *are defined by*

$$\begin{bmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\\ \phi_3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}w_1 & w_2 & w_3\\ w_2 & w_4 & w_5\\ w_3 & w_5 & w_6\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}P_1\\ P_2\\ P_3\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}w_7 & w_8 & w_9\\ w_8 & w_{10} & w_{11}\\ w_9 & w_{11} & w_{12}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}Q_1\\ Q_2\\ Q_3\end{bmatrix}$$

for arbitrary homogeneous polynomials $w_1, \ldots, w_6$ *of degree* d_1 *and* $w_7, \ldots, w_{12}$ *of degree* d_0*.*

Proof. Suppose that $[f\ g\ h]^T = Y^T[w_1 \ldots w_{12}]^T$, where $w_1, \ldots w_6 \in R_{d_1}$ and $w_7, \ldots, w_{12} \in R_{d_0}$. Recall from Proposition 2.3 that the matrix Y at the end of the resolution for I^3 has the following description:

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & P_1 & 0 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & 0 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & -Q_1 & 0 & -Q_2 & -Q_3 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

It is easy to see now that the equality $[f\ g\ h]^T = Y^T[w_1 \ldots w_{12}]^T$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix}P_2Q_3 - P_3Q_2\\ P_3Q_1 - P_1Q_3\\ P_1Q_2 - P_2Q_1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}w_1 & w_2 & w_3\\ w_2 & w_4 & w_5\\ w_3 & w_5 & w_6\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}P_1\\ P_2\\ P_3\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}w_7 & w_8 & w_9\\ w_8 & w_{10} & w_{11}\\ w_9 & w_{11} & w_{12}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}Q_1\\ Q_2\\ Q_3\end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking the coefficients of the forms P_iQ_j, $1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j$ in the equations above we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= c(\phi_1, P_2Q_3)\\ -1 &= c(\phi_1, P_3Q_2)\\ 1 &= c(\phi_2, P_3Q_1)\\ -1 &= c(\phi_2, P_1Q_3)\\ 1 &= c(\phi_3, P_1Q_2)\\ -1 &= c(\phi_3, P_2Q_1). \end{aligned}$$

The alternating sum of the six equalities above yields $6 = 0$, a contradiction in any characteristic different from 2 or 3. □

Remark 3.7. All results of sections 2 and 3 remain true with the same proofs if one replaces throughout the requirement that I be a 3-generated homogeneous ideal with generators of the same degree d, defining a reduced set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ with the weaker hypothesis that I is an unmixed height two, locally complete intersection 3-generated homogeneous ideal of $K[x, y, z]$, with generators of the same degree d.

4. Application to the case of Fermat and Klein configurations

4.1. **The Fermat family.** Let $n \geq 3$ be and integer and let K be a field with char(K) $\neq 2$ containing n distinct n^{th} roots of 1. Consider the family of ideals

$$I = (x(y^n - z^n), y(z^n - x^n), z(x^n - y^n)) \subseteq K[x, y, z].$$

These ideals were introduced in [DST] and further discussed in [BCH], [HS]. In [HS, Proposition 2.1] it is proved that these ideals define a reduced set of $n^2 + 3$ points in $\mathbb{P}^2$. In [Res] the configurations of points described by this family of ideals are termed Fermat configurations.

Proposition 4.1. *Let I be the defining ideal of a Fermat configuration over a field K of characteristic* $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$. *Then $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$.*

Proof. By definition, the ideals of the Fermat configuration have three minimal generators, all of degree $d = j + 1$. Furthermore, as remarked in [Res], the minimal free resolution of F has Hilbert-Burch matrix given by $\begin{bmatrix} x^{n-1} & y^{n-1} & z^{n-1} \\ yz & xz & xy \end{bmatrix}^T$. It is clear for $P_1 = x^{n-1}, P_2 = y^{n-1}, P_3 = z^{n-1})$ and $Q_1 = yz, Q_2 = xz, Q_3 = xy$ that condition (1) of Proposition 3.6 is satisfied since the pairwise products are distinct monomials.

Set $\mathcal{B}$ to be the monomial basis of R_{n+1} and let the function $c(\psi, m)$ represent the coefficient of the monomial m in a homogeneous polynomial ψ of the same degree as m. With the notation in the statement of Proposition 3.6 we have, using the function $c(-)$ on the right hand side of the the identities below to mean the coefficient of the monomial P_i or Q_j respectively in a homogeneous polynomial written in terms of the standard monomial basis of R_2 or R_{n-1} respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} c(\phi_1, P_2Q_3) &= c(w_2, Q_3) + c(w_9, P_2) \\ c(\phi_1, P_3Q_2) &= c(w_3, Q_2) + c(w_8, P_3) \\ c(\phi_2, P_3Q_1) &= c(w_5, Q_1) + c(w_8, P_3) \\ c(\phi_2, P_1Q_3) &= c(w_2, Q_3) + c(w_{11}, P_1) \\ c(\phi_3, P_1Q_2) &= c(w_3, Q_2) + c(w_{11}, P_1) \\ c(\phi_3, P_2Q_1) &= c(w_5, Q_1) + c(w_9, P_2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the alternating sum of these identities verifies condition (2) of Proposition 3.6. Combining Proposition 3.6 with Theorem 3.3 yields the desired result $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$. □

The result in Proposition 4.1 has previously been proved first in [DST] for $n = 3$ and later in [HS] for all j, based on the method of [DST]. As new results, we are able to prove theoretically that another configuration, presented below, provides a counterexample to $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.

4.2. **The Klein configuration.** The Klein configuration of points (and lines) has been first introduced by Klein [Kl], Burnside [Bu], Coxeter [Co] and extensively studied in a number of later works, for example [Gr]. The recent preprint [BN] is the first to point out and verify computationally that for the Klein configuration the symbolic cube is not contained in the ordinary square of the defining ideal. In this section, we apply our criterion to give a conceptual proof of this fact.

Although the Klein configuration is usually defined over the complex field (indeed, it is a noteworthy property that it cannot be realized in the real plane), it also makes sense to define it over finite fields, as noted in [Gr] where the configuration over the field $\mathbb{F}_7$ is first introduced.

Here we generalize the classical setting by defining the Klein configuration over an arbitrary field (of any characteristic) as follows: let K be a field and consider the quadratic equation $t^2+t+2 = 0$. Let c and $\bar{c}$ be the roots of this equation in the splitting field $\mathbb{F}$ of this equation over K (i.e. if $c, \bar{c} \in K$, then $\mathbb{F} = K$, otherwise $\mathbb{F} = K[t]/(t^2+t+2)$). The Klein configuration in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{F}}$ consists of 49 $\mathbb{F}$-rational points given by taking arbitrary permutations of the coordinates of the following points

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1:0:0), & (1:\pm 1:0), & (1:\pm 1:\pm\bar{c}) \\ (c:\pm 1:0), & (1:\pm 1:\pm 1), & (\bar{c}^2:\pm 1:\pm 1). \end{array} \tag{1}$$

These points arise as the reduced singular locus of an arrangement of 21 lines which are Hermitian dual to the 21 points listed in the first row of (1), namely the coefficients of these 21 lines are obtained by by taking arbitrary permutations of the following triples:

$$[1:0:0], \quad [1:\pm 1:0], \quad [1:\pm 1:\pm c].$$

A remarkable property of the Klein configuration is that among the 49 points, the 21 listed in the first row of (1) are quadruple points for this line arrangement, while the 28 points listed in the

second row of (1) are triple points. It is in fact the absence of double points that clearly indicates why this configuration cannot be realized in the real projective plane. According to a theorem of Sylvester-Gallai, given a finite number of points in the real plane, not all collinear, there is a line which contains exactly two of the points. The projective dual, version of this theorem then insures that any arrangement of lines in the real projective plane has at least one double point (a point that belongs to only two of the lines), provided the lines are not all collinear at a single point.

We now show that the defining ideal of the Klein configuration fits into the class of strict almost complete intersections in most cases.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume* $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2, 7$. *Then the defining ideal of the Klein configuration in* $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{F}}$ *is minimally generated by three polynomials of degree 8:*

$$\begin{aligned} f &= xy(x^2-y^2)(4x^4+4y^4+(3c+9)x^2y^2+(5c-1)x^2z^2+(5c-1)y^2z^2+(15c+25)z^4),\\ g &= yz(y^2-z^2)((15c+25)x^4+4y^4+(5c-1)x^2y^2+(5c-1)x^2z^2+(3c+9)y^2z^2+4z^4),\\ h &= zx(z^2-x^2)(4x^4+(15c+25)y^4+(5c-1)x^2y^2+(3c+9)x^2z^2+(5c-1)y^2z^2+4z^4). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider among the points listed in (1) those that do not lie on $V(xy(x+y)(x-y))$. They have the following coordinates

$$\begin{array}{cc} (1:\pm\bar{c}:\pm 1), & (\pm\bar{c}:\pm 1:1)\\ (c:\pm 1:0), & (\pm 1:c:0)\\ (\pm 1:\bar{c}^2:\pm 1), & (\bar{c}^2:\pm 1:\pm 1). \end{array}$$

We'll start by finding a form that vanishes on the squares of the coordinates of these points. Note that after taking squares of the coordinates the points can be grouped into 6 classes:

$$(1:\bar{c}^2:1),(\bar{c}^2:1:1),(c^2:1:0),(1:c^2:0),(1:\bar{c}^4:1),(\bar{c}^4:1:1).$$

The remarkable thing about these six points is that they lie on a quadratic curve, namely $V(4x^2+4y^2+(3c+9)xy+(5c-1)xz+(5c-1)yz+(15c+25)z^2)$. A conceptual reason why this is the case is the following: since this set of points is closed under switching x and y coordinates, it suffices to find a non-zero linear combination of $x^2+y^2, xy, (x+y)z$ and z^2 that vanishes on the points $(1:\bar{c}^2:1),(c^2:1:0),(1:\bar{c}^4:1)$; this will automatically also vanish on the other three points. Since the points impose 3 independent conditions on the 4 forms $x^2+y^2, xy, (x+y)z$ and z^2, it is clear that such a linear combination can be found. Having an explicit description of the coefficients as above helps identify the finite list of characteristics to be excluded from our argument. Specifically, we shall see below that our arguments do not apply for $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ or 7.

It follows from the previous arguments that the form $xy(x+y)(x-y)C_3$ vanishes on all the points of (1), where

$$C_3 = 4x^4+4y^4+(3c+9)x^2y^2+(5c-1)x^2z^2+(5c-1)y^2z^2+(15c+25)z^4$$

is obtained by substituting every variable by its square in the quadratic form above. By symmetry, the forms $yz(y+z)(y-z)C_1$ and $zx(z+x)(z-x)C_2$ also vanish on these points, where C_1 is obtained from C_3 by substituting x for z and z for x and C_2 is obtained from C_3 by substituting y for z and z for y.

Let $I = (xy(x+y)(x-y)C_3, yz(y+z)(y-z)C_1, zx(z+x)(z-x)C_2) \subset R = \mathbb{F}[x,y,z]$. We wish to show this is the defining ideal of the Klein configuration. First note that this ideal is contained by construction in the defining ideal of the Klein configuration. It remains to show that I is unmixed of the correct multiplicity. As a consequence of the manner in which C_1 has been defined, the polynomial $C_3 - C_1$ is divisible by x^2-z^2 and similarly C_3-C_2 is divisible by y^2-z^2 and C_1-C_2

is divisible by $x^2 - y^2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 - C_2 &= (x^2 - y^2)D_3 \\ C_2 - C_3 &= (y^2 - z^2)D_1 \\ C_3 - C_1 &= (z^2 - x^2)D_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It is easily checked using the equations above that

$$(zD_3)xy(x+y)(x-y)C_3 + (xD_1)yz(y+z)(y-z)C_1 + (yD_2)zx(z+x)(z-x)C_2) = 0.$$

thus zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 is a syzygy on the generators of I.

Explicitly $D_3 = (15c+21)x^2+(15c+21)y^2+(2c-10)z^2$ and the formulas for D_1, D_2 are obtained by symmetry. A determinant computation shows that D_1, D_2, D_3 are linearly independent if and only if c satisfies one of the equations $c+1 = 0$ or $13c+31 = 0$ in addition to $c^2+c+2 = 0$. The second linear equation is the only one compatible with the quadratic equation and they can only have a common solution if $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ or 7. It is easy to note that, except for these two characteristics mentioned above, the common vanishing locus of the polynomials zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 is empty if $2c-10 \neq 0$ (the equality $2c-10 = 0$ can only occur together with c^2+c+2 in characteristic 2), thus the ideal zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 is primary to the maximal ideal and hence the three polynomials form a regular sequence. Therefore, by [EH] or [To], the presence of a syzygy given by a regular sequence implies that the quotient R/I is Cohen-Macaulay, hence unmixed of Krull dimension one, since the three generators share no common factors.

To finish the proof one needs to show that the multiplicity of R/I is 49. First we show that the syzygy zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 is minimal. Suppose the contrary, then the triple zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 would be a multiple of a linear or quadratic minimal syzygy, thus contradicting that zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 form a regular sequence. Therefore we have a minimal free resolution of R/I of the form

$$0 \to R(-11) \oplus R(-13) \to R(-8)^3 \to R \to R/I \to 0.$$

One easily computes the multiplicity of R/I to be 49 using this exact sequence. □

Remark 4.3. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/7$ and $c = 3$, the generators listed in the previous Proposition become $xy(x^6-y^6), xz(x^6-z^6), yz(y^6-z^6)$. These three degree 8 equations are satisfied by all 57 points of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{F}}$, meaning that the ideal of the Klein configuration in characteristic 7 has additional minimal generators. This is because the syzygy described in the proof above fails to yield a regular sequence in this case. Indeed, with the notation in the proof, one has $D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = x^2+y^2+z^2$, thus the sequence zD_3, xD_1, yD_2 is not regular; it is in fact a multiple of the minimal linear syzygy z, x, y.

Theorem 4.4. *If I is the defining ideal of a Klein configuration over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic not equal to 2, 3 or 7, then $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$.*

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4.2 establishes that I has is an almost complete intersection ideal uniformly generated in degree 8, with a minimal syzygy of degree 3

$$P_1 = zD_3, P_2 = xD_1, P_3 = yD_2 \tag{3}$$

given by a regular sequence. Thus the other minimal generator $[Q_1, Q_2, Q_3]^T$ for the module of syzygies on I must be of degree 5. Let $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ and $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$. We now explicitly exhibit a minimal generator of degree 5 for the module of syzygies on I:

$$\begin{aligned} zC_2xy(x+y)(x-y)C_3 + xC_2yz(y+z)(y-z)C_1 + y((y^2-z^2)D_2 + C_3)zx(z+x)(z-x)C_2 &= \\ xyz((x^2-y^2)C_2C_3 + (y^2-z^2)C_1C_2 + (y^2-z^2)(C_3-C_1)C_2 + (z^2-x^2)C_2C_3) &= \\ xyz((x^2-y^2)C_2C_3 + (y^2-z^2)C_1C_2 + (y^2-z^2)C_2C_3 - (y^2-z^2)C_1C_2 + (z^2-x^2)C_2C_3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= zC_2 \\ Q_2 &= xC_2 \\ Q_3 &= y((y^2 - z^2)D_2 + C_3) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We summarize some important properties satisfied by the forms $P_1, P_2, P_3, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$ that will be used in the proof.

(a) Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 span a complementary space to the homogeneous component of P in degree 5 i.e. $Q_5 \oplus P_5 = R_5$.
(b) the polynomials $\{P_iQ_j | 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$ form a linearly independent set
(c) the set of polynomials in (b) together with a basis for the homogeneous component of P^2 in degree 8 form a basis for R_8 i.e. $\mathrm{Span}\{\mathrm{P_iQ_j} | 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq 3, 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq 3\} \oplus \mathrm{P^2}_8 = \mathrm{R}_8$.

Property (a) can be verified by showing that no non-zero linear combination of the generators of Q is in P. Note that all monomials in Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 have odd exponents for the variables z, x, y respectively and even exponents for the other two variables. Since P_1, P_2, P_3 exhibit the same pattern, we have that a linear combination $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda_j Q_j \in P$ if and only if $\lambda_j Q_j \in P$ by separating the monomials in each of the three categories. It can be verified directly that that $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \notin P$, so the linear combination must be trivial. Since P is generated by a regular sequence it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} P_5 = 18 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} R_5 - 3$, thus Q_5 and P_5 are complementary subspaces of R_5.

To check that (b) and hence condition (1) of Proposition 3.6 holds, we note that a linear dependence relation between the forms P_iQ_j yields a syzygy on P_1, P_2, P_3. Since the P_i form a regular sequence, this syzygy must lie in the module of Koszul syzygies on P. Since no linear combination of the Q_i lies in P, this means that the linear dependence relation is trivial. Property (c) follows from (a) coupled with a similar syzygy argument.

Next we take $\mathcal{B}_5$ to the the basis for R_5 expressed in (a) and $\mathcal{B}_8$ to the the basis for R_8 expressed in (c) and we check that condition (2) of Proposition 3.6 is satisfied with respect to $\mathcal{B}_8$. Towards this end, we need to compute the coefficients of polynomials of the form ωP_i and $\omega' Q_j$ when written in base $\mathcal{B}_8$, where $\omega \in R_5$ and $\omega' \in R_3$.

Notice that $c(\phi P_k, P_iQ_j) = \delta_{ik} c(\phi, Q_j)$ for any $\phi \in R_5$, where $c(\phi P_k, P_iQ_j)$ is the coefficient with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}_8$ and $c(\phi, Q_j)$ is the coefficient with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}_5$. This is because by (a) any $\phi \in R_5$ can be written with respect to the base $\mathcal{B}_5$ as $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{3} c(\phi, Q_j)Q_j + \phi'$ with $\phi' \in P$, whence the expression $\phi P_k = \sum_{j=1}^{3} c(\phi, Q_j)P_kQ_j + \phi' P_k$, in which $\phi' P_k \in P^2$, gives the decomposition of ϕP_k with respect to the two complementary spaces of R_8 described in (c). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} c(w_1P_1 + w_2P_2 + w_3P_3, P_2Q_3) &= c(w_2, Q_3) \\ c(w_1P_1 + w_2P_2 + w_3P_3, P_3Q_2) &= c(w_3, Q_2) \\ c(w_2P_1 + w_4P_2 + w_5P_3, P_3Q_1) &= c(w_5, Q_1) \\ c(w_2P_1 + w_4P_2 + w_5P_3, P_1Q_3) &= c(w_2, Q_3) \\ c(w_3P_1 + w_5P_2 + w_6P_3, P_1Q_2) &= c(w_3, Q_2) \\ c(w_3P_1 + w_5P_2 + w_6P_3, P_2Q_1) &= c(w_5, Q_1) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and the alternating sum of the right hand side terms is 0.

Computing the coefficients of P_iQ_j in expressions of the form ϕQ_j with $\phi \in R_3$ turns out to be somewhat more complicated. Using *Macaulay2* one obtains the following data, where the entry in each cell is the coefficient of the basis element indexing the respective row in the expression of the polynomial corresponding to the column. We have scaled all of these coefficients by dividing them by a factor of $2^7 7$. The table gives the results after this scaling.

	x^3Q_1	x^3Q_2	x^3Q_3	x^2yQ_1	x^2yQ_2	x^2yQ_3	x^2zQ_1	x^2zQ_2	x^2zQ_3	xy^2Q_1
P_2Q_3	0	0	$2c+12$	0	$15c-6$	0	0	0	0	0
P_3Q_2	0	0	$15c-6$	0	$15c-6$	0	0	0	0	0
P_3Q_1	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	$-8c+16$	0
P_1Q_3	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0
P_1Q_2	$15c-6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$15c-6$	0	$5c-2$
P_2Q_1	$2c+12$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2c+12$	0	$5c-2$

	xy^2Q_2	xy^2Q_3	$xyzQ_1$	$xyzQ_2$	$xyzQ_3$	xz^2Q_1	xz^2Q_2	xz^2Q_3	y^3Q_1	y^3Q_2
P_2Q_3	0	$15c-6$	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	$-12c-72$
P_3Q_2	0	$15c-6$	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	$-8c+16$	0	$2c+12$
P_3Q_1	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	$2c+12$	0
P_1Q_3	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	$-12c-72$	0
P_1Q_2	0	0	0	0	$5c-2$	$2c+12$	0	0	0	0
P_2Q_1	0	0	0	0	$5c-2$	$15c-6$	0	0	0	0

	y^3Q_3	y^2zQ_1	y^2zQ_2	y^2zQ_3	yz^2Q_1	yz^2Q_2	yz^2Q_3	z^3Q_1	z^3Q_2	z^3Q_3
P_2Q_3	0	0	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0
P_3Q_2	0	0	0	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0
P_3Q_1	0	0	0	$15c-6$	$15c-6$	0	0	0	0	$15c-6$
P_1Q_3	0	0	0	$15c-6$	$15c-6$	0	0	0	0	$2c+12$
P_1Q_2	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	0	$2c+12$	0
P_2Q_1	0	0	$5c-2$	0	0	0	0	0	$15c-6$	0

Taking alternating sums of pairs of consecutive rows yields the following identities for $\phi_{1,Q} = w_7Q_1 + w_8Q_2 + w_9Q_3$, $\phi_{2,Q} = w_8Q_1 + w_{10}Q_2 + w_{11}Q_3$ and $\phi_{3,Q} = w_9Q_1 + w_{11}Q_2 + w_{12}Q_3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\phi_{1,Q}, P_2Q_3) - c(\phi_{1,Q}P_3Q_2) &= \frac{1}{2^77}\left(-(13c-18)c(w_9,x^3) + (13c-18)c(w_9,xz^2) - (14c+84)c(w_9,y^3)\right)\\
c(\phi_{2,Q}, P_3Q_1) - c(\phi_{2,Q}P1Q_3) &= \frac{1}{2^77}\left(-(13c-18)c(w_{11},x^2z) + (14c+84)c(w_9,y^3) + (13c-18)c(w_{11},z^3)\right)\\
c(\phi_{3,Q}, P_1Q_2) - c(\phi_{3,Q}, P_2Q_1) &= \frac{1}{2^77}\big((13c-18)c(w_9,x^3) + (13c-18)c(w_{11},x^2z) -\\
&\qquad (13c+18)c(w_9,xz^2) - (13c-18)c(w_{11},z^3)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

where the function $c()$ on the right hand side of the equations above signifies coefficient with respect to the standard monomial basis of R_3. Taking the sum of the three identities above together with the equations in (5) verifies condition (2) of Proposition 3.6, namely that

$$c(\phi_1, P_2Q_3) - c(\phi_1, P_3Q_2) + c(\phi_2, P_3Q_1) - c(\phi_2, P_1Q_3) + c(\phi_3, P_1Q_2) - c(\phi_3, P_2Q_1) = 0.$$

An application of Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.3 now yields that $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$ for the ideal I of the Klein configuration of points. □

Remark 4.5. The criterion of Theorem 3.3 can be successfully applied to the Wiman configuration as well. The details of the argument in that case are however much more complicated than in the case of the Klein configuration, therefore we do not include them here.

Acknowledgements

We thank Brian Harbourne, Tomasz Szemberg and Piotr Pokora for bringing the Klein and Wiman configurations to our attention and Uwe Nagel for discussions regarding section 2. Part of the work contained in this paper was done while the author was suported by an NSF-AWM grant. Computations with *Macaulay2* [M2] were essential for our work. *Macaulay2* is freely available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

References

[BN] T. Bauer, S. Di Rocco, B. Harbourne, J. Huizenga, A. Lundman, P. Pokora, T. Szemberg *Bounded negativity and arrangements of lines*, preprint, 2014, arXiv:1407.2966.

[BCH] C. Bocci, S. Cooper and B. Harbourne. *Containment results for ideals of various configurations of points in* $\mathbb{P}^N$, J. Pure Appl. Alg. (1) 218 (2014), 65-75.

[BH] C. Bocci and B. Harbourne. *Comparing Powers and Symbolic Powers of Ideals*, J. Algebraic Geometry, 19 (2010) 399–417.

[Bu] W. Burnside, *On a plane configuration of points and lines connected with the group of 168 plane collineations*, Messenger of Math. 48 (1919) 33-34.

[Co] H. S. M. Coxeter, *My graph*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 46 (1983) 117-136.

[CHT] D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog, N.V. Trung, *Asymptotic behaviour of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Compositio Math. 118 (1999), no. 3, 243261.

[Res] M. Dumnicki, B. Harbourne, U. Nagel, A. Seceleanu, T. Szemberg and H. Tutaj-Gasińska, *Resurgences for ideals of special point configurations in* $\mathbf{P}^N$ *coming from hyperplane arrangements*, arXiv:1404.4957

[DST] M. Dumnicki, T. Szemberg and H. Tutaj-Gasińska. *Counterexamples to the* $I^{(3)} \subset I^2$ *containment.*, J. Algebra 393 (2013), 2429.

[EH] D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke, *Ideals with a regular sequence as syzygy*, Appendix to *Sur les hypersufaces dont les sections hyperplanes sont module constant* by Arnaud Beauville. Progress in Mathematics 86:132133. Grothendieck Festschrift. Vol. 1. pp. 121133.

[ELS] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld and K. Smith, *Uniform Behavior of Symbolic Powers of Ideals*, Invent. Math., 144 (2001), 241–252.

[Gr] B. Grünbaum, J. F. Rigby, The real configuration (21_4). *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 41 (1990), no. 2, 336346.

[HaHu] B. Harbourne and C. Huneke. *Are symbolic powers highly evolved?*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 28, no.3 (Special Issue-2013), 311–330.

[HS] B. Harbourne, A. Seceleanu *Containment counterexamples for ideals of various configurations of points in* $\mathbb{P}^N$, J. Pure Appl. Math. 219 (2015), no. 4, 1062–1072.

[HSV] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. Vasconcelos, *Koszul homology and blowing-up rings*, in Commutative Algebra, Proceedings, Trento, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 84, 1983, 79-169.

[HoHu] M. Hochster and C. Huneke. *Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals*. Invent. Math. **147** (2002), no. 2, 349–369.

[Kl] F. Klein, *Ueber die Transformation siebenter Ordnung der elliptischen Functionen*, Math. Ann. 14 (1879) 428-471.

[Ei] D. Eisenbud, David *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[Ko] V. Kodiyalam, *Asymptotic behaviour of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 2, 407411.

[M2] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman. *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[NS1] U. Nagel and A. Seceleanu, *Ordinary and symbolic Rees algebras of Fermat configurations of points*, in preparation.

[NS2] A. N. Nejad and A. Simis, *The Aluffi Algebra*, Journal of Singularities 3 (2011), 2047.

[PSC] T. Bauer, S. Di Rocco, B. Harbourne, M. Kapustka, A. Knutsen, W. Syzdek and T. Szemberg, *A primer on Seshadri constants*, Contemporary Mathematics vol. 496 (2009), p. 39–70.

[To] S. Tohaneanu, *On freeness of divisors on* $\mathbb{P}^2$, Comm. Algebra 41 (2013), no. 8, 29162932.

[Tr] N. V. Trung, *The Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebra and the associated graded ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), 28132832.

[Ur] Urzuá, *Arrangements of curves and algebraic surfaces*, Thesis (Ph.D.) University of Michigan, (2008) 166 pages, ISBN: 978-0549-82049-9.

[Wi] A. Wiman, *Zur Theorie der endlichen Gruppen von birationalen Transformationen in der Ebene* Math. Annalen 48 (1896), 195 240.